arXiv:1411.2105v1 [cs.DM] 8 Nov 2014

Spiders can be recognized by counting their legs

Sarah Berkemer, Ricardo Chaves, Adrian Fritz, Marc Hellmuth, Maribel Hernandez-Rosales and Peter F. Stadler

To all arachnophobic mathematicians.

Abstract. Spiders are arthropods that can be distinguished from their closest relatives, the insects, by counting their legs. Spiders have 8, insects just 6. Spider graphs are a very restricted class of graphs that naturally appear in the context of cograph editing. The vertex set of a spider (or its complement) is naturally partitioned into a clique (the body), an independent set (the legs), and a rest (serving as the head). Here we show that spiders can be recognized directly from their degree sequences through the number of their legs (vertices with degree 1). Furthermore, we completely characterize the degree sequences of spiders.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 05C07; Secondary 05C75.

Keywords. Phylogenetics, Cograph, P4-sparse, Spider, Degree Sequence.

1. Introduction

Determining the evolutionary history of species based on sequence information is one of the main challenges in phylogenomics. Recent advances in phylogenetics have shown that cographs play a crucial role in order to clean up orthology, resp., paralogy data, i.e., estimates of pairs of genes that arose from a speciation, resp., duplication event in the gene tree [4, 5]. However, the (decision version of the) problem to edit a given graph into a cograph is NP-complete [9, 10]. Nevertheless, the cograph editing problem can be solved in polynomial time, whenever the graph under investigation is so-called P_4-sparse [9, 10]. The structure of P_4-sparse graphs can be characterized in terms of so-called spiders [7, 8]. Hence, the cograph editing problem can be rephrased as a "spider editing problem". To address the spider editing problem in future work, we give in this contribution a full characterization of spiders in terms of their degrees and respective degree sequences.

2. Preliminaries

We consider only simple graphs $G = (V, E)$. The *degree* $\deg_G(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V$ is defined as the number of edges that contain v. If there is no risk of confusion we write simply $\deg(v)$ instead of $\deg_G(v)$. The *degree sequence* $(n_0, n_1, n_2 \ldots, n_w)$ is a list of non-negative integers. A degree sequence is *graphical*, if it can be realized by a graph, i.e., if there is a graph G s.t. there are exactly n_k vertices with degree k in G. Of course, for simple graphs we have $n_k = 0$ for $k \geq |V|$. It is well-known that one can verify in polynomial time, whether a degree sequence is graphical, either by means of the Havel-Hakimi algorithm [3, 2] or by using the Erdős-Gallai Theorem [1].

A graph H is a *subgraph* of a graph G, in symbols $H \subseteq G$, if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A subgraph $H \subseteq G$ is called *induced*, when $xy \in E(H)$ if and only if $xy \in E(G)$ for all $x, y \in$

This work was funded in part by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (Proj. No. MI439/14-1).



FIGURE 1. This thin spider, with vertex partition $K = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $S = \{x, y, z\}$ and $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$ has degree sequence $(0, 3, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 3)$. In this example there is another spider hidden in the head R, namely $K' = \{b, c\}$, $S' = \{a, d\}$, and $R' = \emptyset$.

$V(H)$. The *complement* $\overline{G}$ of a graph $G = (V, E)$ has vertex set V and edge set $\overline{E} = \{xy \mid xy \notin E \text{ for all distinct } x, y \in V\}$.

A graph G is called P_4-*sparse* if every set of five vertices induces at most one P_4 (chordless path on four vertices) [6]. The efficient recognition of P_4-sparse graphs is intimately connected to spider graphs, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.1 ([7, 8].) *A graph G is P_4 sparse if and only if exactly one of the following three alternatives is true for every induced subgraph H of G: (i) H is not connected, (ii) $\overline{H}$ is not connected, or (iii) H is a spider*

Spiders come in two sub-types, called *thin* and *thick*.

Definition 2.2. [8, 11] A graph G is a *thin spider* if its vertex set can be partitioned into three sets K, S, and R so that (i) K is a clique; (ii) S is a stable set; (iii) $|K| = |S| \geq 2$; (iv) every vertex in R is adjacent to all vertices of K and none of the vertices of S; and (v) each vertex in K has a unique neighbor in S and *vice versa*.
A graph G is a *thick spider* if its complement $\overline{G}$ is thin spider.

The sets K, S, and R are usually referred to as the *body*, the set of *legs*, and *head*, resp., of a thin spider, see Fig. 1. The path P_4 is the only graph that is both a thin and thick spider.

3. Results

Spider graphs have characteristic degree sequences. Suppose G is a thin spider. It follows directly from the definition that $\deg(x) = 1$ for all $x \in S$: The leg x is adjacent to a single body vertex and to none of the head vertices (Condition (iv) and (v)). Each body vertex $x \in K$ has $\deg(x) = |K| + |R|$, since it is adjacent to 1 leg, all $|K| - 1$ other body vertices, and all $|R|$ head vertices. For a head vertex $x \in R$ we have $|K| \leq \deg(x) \leq |K| + |R| - 1$ since it is adjacent at least to all body vertices and at most to all body and head vertices except itself. As $|K| + |R| = |V| - |S|$ we can rephrase these observations in the following form, which have in parts already be discovered in [8, Obs. 2.8 & 2.9].

Lemma 3.1. *If G is a thin spider, then it has $|S| \geq 2$ legs with degree 1, $|S|$ body vertices with degree $|V| - |S|$, and $|V| - 2|S|$ head vertices $x \in R$ with $2 \leq |S| \leq \deg(x) \leq |V| - |S| - 1$.*

Our main result is that this condition is also sufficient to identify thin spiders:

Theorem 3.2. *A graph $G = (V, E)$ with vertex set V is a thin spider if and only if there is an integer $s \geq 2$ so that G has exactly s vertices of degree 1 and exactly s vertices of degree $|V| - s$.*

Proof. The "only if" part of this statement is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.
Hence, consider a graph G with $s \geq 2$ nodes with degree 1 and s nodes of degree $|V| - s$. Define $K := \{x \in V \mid \deg(x) = |V| - s\}$ as the set of body and $S := \{x \in V \mid \deg(x) = 1\}$ as the legs.

Claim 1. *For each body vertex $x \in K$ there is a unique leg $y \in S$ so that $xy \in E$.*
If x is not adjacent to a leg $y \in S$ then it has at most $|V| - |S| - 1$ neighbors, a contradiction. Thus, every body vertex $x \in K$ has at least one adjacent leg $y \in S$. Since $|K| = |S|$ by assumption, every body node is adjacent to exactly one leg. ◁

This establishes property (v).

Claim 2. *Every body vertex $x \in K$ is adjacent to all non-leg vertices $y \in V \setminus S$, except itself.*
Since $\deg(x) = |V| - |S|$ and one of the neighbors of x is a leg, it is adjacent to $|V| - |S| - 1$ non-leg vertices. There are in total $|V| - |S|$ non-leg vertices, i.e., $|V| - |S| - 1$ non-leg vertices other than x itself. Since x cannot be adjacent to itself, it is adjacent to all other non-leg vertices. ◁

It follows that K forms a clique in G, i.e., property (i) holds. Furthermore every head node $z \in R := V \setminus (K \cup S)$ is connected to every body node and to none of the legs, i.e., property (iv) holds. Conditions (ii) and (iii) are satisfied by construction, thus G is a thin spider. □

Since the complement of a thick spider is a thin spider and *vice versa*, the characterization of thin spiders immediately implies a characterization of thick spiders because $\deg_{\overline{G}}(x) + \deg_G(x) = |V| - 1$. It follows that a thick spider has $|S|$ body vertices of degree $|V| - 2$ and $|S|$ leg vertices of degree $|S| - 1$.

Corollary 3.3. *G is a thick spider if and only if there is an integer $s \geq 2$ so that G has exactly s vertices with degree $s - 1$ and s vertices with degree $|V| - 2$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Pi = (n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_w)$ be an arbitrary degree sequence. Then it holds that Π is realizable by a thin spider if and only if there are integers $s \geq 2$, $v \geq 4$, $s < v$ so that*

(i) *$n_1 = s$, $n_{v-s} = s$, and*
(ii) *$\Pi' = (m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_w)$ is a graphical degree sequence where*

$$m_k = \begin{cases} n_k = 0, & \text{if } 2 \leq k < s \text{ or } k \geq v - s \\ n_k - s, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A sequence $(n_1, \ldots, n_j, \ldots, n_{|V|-1})$ is the degree sequence of a thick spider if and only if $(n_{|V|-1}, \ldots, n_{|V|-1-j}, \ldots, n_1)$ is the degree sequence of a thin spider.

Proof. Let Π be realizable by a thin spider. Theorem 3.2 implies Conditions (i). As already observed, the $|V| - 2|S|$ head vertices of a thin spider have degrees in the range from $|S|$ to $|V| - |S| - 1$, that is reflected by the given degrees of Π' in Condition (ii). Moreover, since Π is the degree sequence of a thin spider G, R induces a subgraph of G and each vertex in R has s neighbors in K, we can conclude that Π' is a graphical degree sequence. Note, from the algorithmic point of view, Condition (ii) can easily be checked by the Erdős-Gallai Theorem [1].

Now assume that there are integers $s \geq 2$, $v \geq 4$, $s < v$ so that Conditions (i) and (ii) are fulfilled for Π. We construct a spider from the graph (V, E) with $|V| = v$ and $E = \emptyset$. Choose a subset $K \subseteq V$ with $|K| = s$, $S \subseteq V \setminus K$ with $|S| = s$, and set $R = V \setminus (K \cup S)$. Now, add edge xy to E for all distinct $x, y \in K$, choose a bijection $f : K \to S$ and add $xf(x)$ to E for all $x \in K$ and finally add edge ab to E for all $a \in K, b \in R$. Clearly, the construction is feasible in terms of Condition (i) and (ii), and fulfills the properties of a thin spider. Finally, Condition (ii) also ensures that R induces itself a graph, that can be constructed with the Havel-Hakimi algorithm [3, 2].

The result for thick spiders follows by observing that the degree sequence of $\overline{G}$ is related to the degree sequence of G via $\bar{n}_k = n_{|V|-1-k}$ for $0 \leq k < |V| - 1$. □

References

[1] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. Gráfok előírt fokszámú pontokkal. *Matematikai Lapok*, 11:264–274, 1960.

[2] S.L. Hakimi. On realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a linear graph. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 10:496–506, 1962.

[3] V. Havel. A remark on the existence of finite graphs. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky (in Czech)*, 80:477–480, 1955.

[4] M. Hellmuth, M. Hernandez-Rosales, K. T. Huber, V. Moulton, P. F. Stadler, and N. Wieseke. Orthology relations, symbolic ultrametrics, and cographs. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 66(1-2):399–420, 2013.

[5] M. Hellmuth, N. Wieseke, M. Lechner, H.-P. Lenhof, M. Middendorf, and P. F. Stadler. Phylogenomics with paralogs. *PNAS*, 2014. in review.

[6] C. T. Hoàng. *Perfect graphs*. PhD thesis, School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, 1985.

[7] B. Jamison and S. Olariu. p_4-reducible-graphs, a class of uniquely tree representable graphs. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 81:79–87, 1989.

[8] B Jamison and S Olariu. Recognizing p_4-sparse graphs in linear time. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 21:381–406, 1992.

[9] Yunlong Liu, Jianxin Wang, Jiong Guo, and Jianer Chen. Cograph editing: Complexity and parametrized algorithms. In B. Fu and D. Z. Du, editors, *COCOON 2011*, volume 6842 of *Lect. Notes Comp. Sci.*, pages 110–121, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. Springer-Verlag.

[10] Yunlong Liu, Jianxin Wang, Jiong Guo, and Jianer Chen. Complexity and parameterized algorithms for cograph editing. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 461(0):45 – 54, 2012.

[11] James Nastos and Yong Gao. Bounded search tree algorithms for parameterized cograph deletion: Efficient branching rules by exploiting structures of special graph classes. *Discr. Math. Algor. Appl.*, 4:1250008, 2012.

Sarah Berkemer
Bioinformatics Group,
Department of Computer Science and Interdisciplinary Center for Bioinformatics
University of Leipzig,
Härtelstrasse 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig, Germany
e-mail: `bsarah@bioinf.uni-leipzig.de`

Ricardo Chaves
Departamento de Ciência da Computação (CIC)
Instituto de Ciências Exatas
Universidade de Brasília
Campus Universitário - Asa Norte
Brasília DF - CEP: 70910-900
Brasil
e-mail: `rrcchaves@gmail.com`

Adrian Fritz
Center for Bioinformatics
Saarland University
Building E 2.1, Room 413
P.O. Box 15 11 50
D - 66041 Saarbrücken
Germany
e-mail: `s9adfrit@stud.uni-saarland.de`

Marc Hellmuth
Center for Bioinformatics
Saarland University
Building E 2.1, Room 413
P.O. Box 15 11 50
D - 66041 Saarbrücken
Germany
e-mail: `marc@bioinf.uni-leipzig.de`

Maribel Hernandez-Rosales
Departamento de Ciência da Computação (CIC)
Instituto de Ciências Exatas
Universidade de Brasília
Campus Universitário - Asa Norte
Brasília DF - CEP: 70910-900
Brasil
e-mail: maribel@bioinf.uni-leipzig.de

Peter F. Stadler
Bioinformatics Group,
Department of Computer Science; and Interdisciplinary Center for Bioinformatics,
University of Leipzig,
Härtelstrasse 16-18, D-04107 Leipzig,Germany

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences
Inselstrasse 22, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

RNomics Group, Fraunhofer Institut für Zelltherapie und Immunologie, Deutscher Platz 5e, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Währingerstraße 17, A-1090 Wien, Austria

Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Rd., Santa Fe, NM87501, USA
e-mail: studla@bioinf.uni-leipzig.de